## Gentleman,

I am unalterably opposed to BPL because it CANNOT be instituted without INTERFERENCE TO EXISTING SERVICES. Both the studies that you are conducting in trial locations across the country and actual measurements by at least one American Radio Relay League official have shown levels of interference that would COMPLETELY DESTROY the existing utility of many, many communications services already existing in the frequency bands being considered for BPL. This is no time to bow to the deep-pocketed commercial interests who would convince you that they MUST have BPL. These interests must NOT be allowed to place the burden of proof on these existing services that interference WILL occur. They must prove that BPL will NOT violate existing FCC requirements for acceptable levels of interference. They, YOU, and all of the other services (including the Amateur Radio Service) KNOW BEYOND ALL DOUBT that BPL DOES EXACTLY THAT --exceeds your own regulatory provisions for non-interference.

DO NOT BOW TO THESE COMMERCIAL INTERESTS THAT ARE INTENT ON ONLY THEIR OWN NARROW, SELFISH, FINANCIAL INTERESTS. BPL CAN EXIST ONLY AT THE EXPENSE OF SERVICES THAT HAVE EVERY RIGHT TO CONTINUE THEIR OPERATIONS WITHOUT INTERFERENCE. BPL WOULD DOOM THEM TO A PUERILE AND PITIFUL COEXISTENCE AT BEST AND TO MASS EXTINCTION AT WORST.

Thank you and may you have wisdom to rule for ALL the people.

Sincerely,

Ronald W. Evans (Serving America through the Amateur Radio Service for 46 years)